## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE: NEW ENGLAND COMPOUNDING PHARMACY, INC. PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

## THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Bray v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12596;
Collins v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12580;
Cox v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12918;
Dingess v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12490;
Graham v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12581;
Hubbard v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12922;
Jackson v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12923;
Johnson v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12915;
Lapiska v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12914;
Nealon v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12491;
Norris v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12682;
Palmer v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12688;
Reed v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12917;
Savercool v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12583;
Willis v. Ameridose, LLC, et al., No. 1:13-cv-12597.

MDL No. 2419 Master Docket No.: 1:13-md-2419 (RWZ)

Plaintiffs' Steering Committee's Cross Motion To Extend Time To File Certificates Of Good Faith Under Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-26-122(c)(3)

On September 12, 2016 Specialty Surgery Center LLC, Dr. Kenneth Lister, and Kenneth Lister P.C. (the "Specialty Surgery Center Defendants) filed a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 3086, the "Motion") seeking to dismiss fifteen cases for an alleged failure to comply with Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-26-122's certificate of good faith requirements related to healthcare liability actions. The Plaintiffs' Steering Committee now files this Cross Motion seeking to extend the time to file the certificates of good faith as permitted by Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-26-122(c)(3) and states as follows:

- 1. Failure to file a certificate of good faith with a complaint is not fatal to a plaintiffs' claims. Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-26-122(c)(3) specifically gives this Court the ability to extend the time for filing certificates of good faith where a plaintiff can show "good cause." The statute provides as follows: "The court may, upon motion, grant an extension within which to file a certificate of good faith if the court determines that a health care provider who has medical records relevant to the issues in the case has failed to timely produce medical records upon timely request, or for other good cause shown." Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-26-122(c)(3).
- 2. The time for filing such a motion is not set in the statute and is instead governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). *Stovall v. UHS Lakeside, LLC*, 2014 WL 2155345, at \*8 (Tenn. Ct. App. Apr. 22, 2014) overruled on other grounds by *Davis ex rel. Davis v. Ibach*, 465 S.W.3d 570 (Tenn. 2015) (holding that the time for filing a motion under Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-26-122(c)(3) is governed by Rule 6 and ruling that a "trial court may grant an extension for filing a certificate of good faith, even after the expiration of the time period in which the act was to be completed.")
- 3. As set forth in greater detail in the contemporaneously filed Response in Opposition to Specialty Surgery Center Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the PSC maintains that

plaintiffs subject to the present Motion satisfy the good cause showing required for an extension

of the time to file a certificate of good faith.

4. Accordingly, the PSC asks the Court to extend the filing of the time to file a

certificate of good faith in compliance with Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-26-122 for those plaintiffs

subject to the Motion. For those Plaintiffs that have already filed a certificate of good faith with

their current operative complaint, the Court only need to extend the time for filing a certificate

of good faith to the date these plaintiffs filed an amended complaint containing the certificate of

good faith (approximately December 20, 2013). For those Plaintiffs that have not yet filed a

certificate of good faith, 2 the Court should grant a 30 day extension from the date this motion is

granted (to the extent that the Court grants this motion) to file a certificate of good faith.

In support of this motion the PSC relies upon the contemporaneously filed Response in

Opposition to the Specialty Surgery Center Defendant's Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) Motion to

Dismiss.

Dated: October 7, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Benjamin A. Gastel

J. Gerard Stranch, IV

Benjamin A. Gastel

BRANSTETTER, STRANCH & JENNINGS PLLC

223 Rosa L. Parks Avenue, Suite 200

Nashville, TN 37203

Telephone: (615) 254-8801

Facsimile: (615) 255-5419

gerards@bsjfirm.com

beng@bsjfirm.com

Plaintiffs' Steering Committee and Tennessee

State Chair

<sup>1</sup> These include the *Bray*, *Collins*, *Graham*, *Norris*, *Palmer*, *Savercool*, and *Willis* Actions.

<sup>2</sup> These cases include the Cox, Dingess, Hubbard, Jackson, Johnson, Lapiska, Nealon, and Reed actions.

2

Thomas M. Sobol Kristen Johnson HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 55 Cambridge Parkway, Suite 301 Cambridge, MA 02142 Telephone: (617) 482-3700 Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

tom@hbsslaw.com kristenjp@hbsslaw.com

Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel

Elizabeth J. Cabraser
Mark P. Chalos
Annika K. Martin
LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP
150 Fourth Avenue North, Suite 1650
Nashville, TN 37219-2417
Telephone: 615.313.9000
Facsimile: 615.313.9965
ecabraser@lchb.com
mchalos@lchb.com
akmartin@lchb.com

Federal/State Liaison

Marc E. Lipton LIPTON LAW 18930 W. 10 Mile Road Southfield, MI 48075 Telephone: (248) 557-1688 Facsimile: (248) 557-6344 marc@liptonlawcentercom

Kim Dougherty
JANET, JENNER & SUGGS, LLC
31 St. James Avenue, Suite 365
Boston, MA 02116
Telephone: (617) 933-1265
kdougherty@myadvocates.com

Patrick T. Fennell CRANDALL & KATT 366 Elm Avenue, S.W. Roanoke, VA 24016 Telephone: (540) 342-2000 pfennel@crandalllaw.com

Mark Zamora ZAMORA FIRM 6 Concourse Way, 22nd Floor Atlanta, GA 30328 Telephone: (404) 451-7781 Facsimile: (404) 506-9223

marc@markzamora.com

Case 1:13-md-02419-RWZ Document 3121 Filed 10/07/16 Page 6 of 6

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 

I, Benjamin A. Gastel, hereby certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing to be filed

electronically via the Court's electronic filing system. Those attorneys who are registered with

the Court's electronic filing system may access these filings through the Court's system, and

notice of these filings will be sent to these parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing

system.

Dated: October 7, 2016

/s/ Benjamin A. Gastel

Benjamin A. Gastel

5